arXiv:1403.5894v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 24 Mar 2014

Thermal illusions

Y. X. Chen, X. Y. Shen, and J. P. Huang*

Department of Physics, State Key Laboratory of Surface Physics,

and Key Laboratory of Micro and Nano Photonic Structures (Ministry of Education),

Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

Abstract

People have proposed or realized illusions in several fields ranging from optics, to electromagnetics, and to acoustics. To empower unprecedent applications in thermal engineering, here we attempt to extend the concept of illusions to the field of heat conduction. For this purpose, we develop the coordinate transformation approach, and propose a kind of illusion device made of thermal metamaterials, which causes an object to apparently possess the same temperature distribution pattern as another object. Our finite element simulations confirm the desired effect for different objects with various geometries and compositions. The underlying mechanism lies in the complementary and restoring effects of thermal metamaterials. This kind of illusion device provides a different method to control the flow of heat.

*Electronic address: jphuang@fudan.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

One can see an object because of its specific optical scattering pattern. Then, if one adjusts Object A's optical scattering pattern by using some peculiar methods, the adjusted scattering pattern causes him/her to "see" Object B. Since Object B actually does not exist, this phenomenon produces an optical illusion [1–3]. So far, the concept of optical illusion has been extended from optics [1–3], to electromagnetics [4–7], and to acoustics [8] where wave equations dominate. To empower unprecedent applications in thermal engineering, here we attempt to reveal the counterpart of optical illusions in the field of heat conduction (Fig. 1) where diffusion equations play a key role instead. We call this counterpart as "thermal illusions" (Fig. 1). However, which method can be used for achieving thermal illusions? One of the candidate methods is the coordinate transformation approach.

In 2008, one of us [9] extended the coordinate transformation approach to the field of heat conduction, and proposed a class of thermal metamaterials with novel thermal properties that cannot be found in nature or chemical compound. This approach provides a way to steer heat flow and change temperature distribution patterns almost at will. As a result, a lot of thermal metamaterials with novel thermal properties have come to appear, such as cloaks (which are used to let heat flow around an object as if the object does not exist) [9–23], concentrators (which are used to concentrate heat into a specific region) [11, 12], inverters (which are used to apparently let heat flow from the region of low temperature to the region of high temperature) [9, 24], and rotators (which are used to rotate the flow of heat as if it comes from a different angle) [12, 24].

In this work, we shall develop the coordinate transformation approach for heat conduction [9, 10], and propose a kind of illusion device made of thermal metamaterials, which causes an object to apparently possess the same temperature distribution pattern as another object, thus producing the so-called thermal illusion (Fig. 1). Our finite element simulations in two dimensions confirm the desired effect for different objects with various geometries and compositions. This kind of illusion device paves a different way for controlling heat conduction.

II. THEORY

Fig. 1 schematically shows the thermal illusion under our consideration. In detail, Fig. 1(a) displays the temperature distribution pattern of a pencil (Object A); Fig. 1(b) depicts the temperature

distribution pattern of a key (Object B); Fig. 1(c) is same as Fig. 1(a), but we add an illusion device. As a result, with the help of the illusion device, the *pencil* outside the illusion device in Fig. 1(c) apparently has the same temperature distribution pattern as the *key* in Fig. 1(b), thus yielding the thermal illusion. Clearly, the illusion device is the key to obtain this kind of thermal illusion. But, how to design the illusion device? We offer relevant details in Fig. 2. Fig. 2 just shows the illusion device occupying Regions 2-3 embedded in a background of thermal conductivity κ_0, which can be used to produce the desired thermal illusion: the medium of κ_1 occupying Region 1 apparently produces the same temperature distribution pattern as the medium of κ_4 occupying Region 4. Here Regions 1-2 both have a shape of trapezoid, Region 3 contains one rectangle and two triangles, and Region 4 is in the shape of hexagon, which has the same shape, size and location as the total area of Regions 1-3. For achieving this kind of thermal illusion, the medium of κ_2 (complementary medium) occupying Region 2 thermally cancels the medium occupying Region 1, and the medium of κ_3 (restoring medium) occupying Region 3 thermally takes place of the medium occupying Region 4. Then, we are in a position to obtain κ_2 and κ_3, in order to obtain the illusion device.

To proceed, without loss of generality, we start by considering the heat conduction equation for the steady state

$$\nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla T) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where κ is the thermal conductivity and T is the temperature. Since Eq. (1) remains form invariant under coordinate transformations [25], we can then directly apply the coordinate transformation approach to Eq. (1) [9]. As a result, the new thermal conductivity κ' in the transformed coordinates satisfies the following relation [9, 10],

$$\kappa' = \frac{J \kappa J^t}{\det(J)}, \tag{2}$$

where J is the Jacobian transformation matrix between the original and distorted coordinates, J^t is the transposed matrix of J, and $\det(J)$ is the determinant of J.

As shown in Fig. 2(a), Region 2 should be used to thermally cancel Region 1, which can be achieved by folding the geometry of Region 1 into Region 2. So, according to Eq. (2), the thermal conductivity of Region 2, κ_2, is given by

$$\kappa_2 = \frac{J_{12} \kappa_1 J_{12}^t}{\det(J_{12})}. \tag{3}$$

Here, J_{12} is the Jacobian transformation matrix which is determined by the coordinates transfor-

mation between Region 1 [with coordinates (x_1, y_1)] and Region 2 [with coordinates (x_2, y_2)],

$$J_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_1} \\ \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial y_1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

On the other hand, since Region 3 of Fig. 2(a) is used to replace the whole area corresponding to Region 4 of Fig. 2(b), according to Eq. (2), the thermal conductivity of Region 3, κ_3, is given by

$$\kappa_3 = \frac{J_{43}\kappa_4 J_{43}^t}{\det(J_{43})}, \tag{5}$$

where J_{43} is the Jacobian transformation matrix determined by the coordinates transformation between Region 3 [with coordinates (x_3, y_3)] and Region 4 [with coordinates (x_4, y_4)],

$$J_{43} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial x_4} & \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial y_4} \\ \frac{\partial y_3}{\partial x_4} & \frac{\partial y_3}{\partial y_4} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

In order to show the desired thermal illusion convincingly, we are in a position to perform finite element simulations (based on commercial software COMSOL Multiphysics).

For simulations, we set the following coordinates transformation between Region 1 (x_1, y_1) and Region 2 (x_2, y_2),

$$x_2 = -\frac{x_1}{2}, \tag{7}$$

$$y_2 = y_1. \tag{8}$$

Then, the substitution of Eqs. (7)-(8) into Eqs. (3)-(4) yields κ_2. On the other hand, the coordinates transformation between Region 4 (x_4, y_4) and Region 3 (x_3, y_3) is set to be

$$y_3 = y_4 \text{ for the whole area of Region 3}, \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} = \frac{-2y_3 + 1 - x_3}{-2y_4 + 1 - x_4} \text{ for the upper obtuse triangle of Region 3}, \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} = \frac{2y_3 + 1 - x_3}{2y_4 + 1 - x_4} \text{ for the lower obtuse triangle of Region 3}, \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} = \frac{0.2 - x_3}{0.2 - x_4} \text{ for the rectangle of Region 3}. \tag{12}$$

So, plugging Eqs. (9)-(12) into Eqs. (5)-(6) gives κ_3.

Fig. 3 shows our simulation results; in each panel of Fig. 3, the temperature at the left and right boundary is respectively set to be 400 and 300 K. In Fig. 3(a), Region 1 within the background of

$\kappa_0 = 40$ W/ (m·K) is fully occupied by Object A of $\kappa_A = 1$ W/(m· K) in the shape of trapezoid; In Fig. 3(b), Region 4 within the same background is fully occupied by Object B of $\kappa_B = 400$ W/(m· K) in the shape of hexagon; Fig. 3(c) is same as Fig. 3(a), but involves an illusion device that occupies Regions 2-3. Clearly, Fig. 3(b) and Fig. 3(c) show the same temperature distribution pattern outside either Region 4 of Fig. 3(b) or Regions 1-3 of Fig. 3(c), thus yielding the desired thermal illusion.

Then, we discuss the case of different shapes and compositions. Fig. 3(d-f) is same as Fig. 3(a-c), but Object A (or Object B) becomes an elliptical (or rectangular) object of $\kappa_A = 400$ W/(m· K) (or $\kappa_B = 1$ W/(m· K)), which lies in the background and partially occupies Region 1 (or Region 4). Clearly, Fig. 3(f) is the thermal illusion of Fig. 3(e) due to the remote control of the illusion device. Similarly, Fig. 3(i) is the thermal illusion of Fig. 3(h) because of the remote control of the illusion device as well, where the shape of Object A and Object B has been exchanged.

In Fig. 3(a-i), both Region 1 and Region 4 are fully or partially occupied by Object A and Object B. Fig. 3(j-l) shows a different case, where Object A [Fig. 3(j)] is partially occupied by Region 1 instead and Object B [Fig. 3(k)] is outside Region 4. Also, Fig. 3(l) is still the thermal illusion of Fig. 3(k). In other words, the illusion device proposed in this work can be used to get thermal illusions not only for the whole area of Object A [Fig. 3(c,f,i)], but also for a part of Object A [Fig. 3(l)].

According to Fig. 3, we may turn to the conclusion that this illusion device is capable of realizing various kinds of thermal illusion, which are independent of the shape and composition of Object A and Object B. But, what is the underlying mechanism? As mentioned in Fig. 2, this mechanism lies in the complementary and restoring effects of thermal metamaterials. In particular, thermal conductivities of these thermal metamaterials should be not only anisotropic, but also negative, as implied by Eqs. (3) and (5). Since a negative thermal conductivity of a material means that heat flows from the region of low temperature to the region of high temperature, in reality one must apply an external work to get the negative thermal conductivity as required by the second law of thermodynamics. In fact, an electric refrigerator is just a sort of "material" whose effective thermal conductivity is negative because heat is brought from the interior of the refrigerator (namely, the region of low temperature) to the exterior of the refrigerator (i.e., the region of high temperature) due to the input of electric power. On the same footing, for experimental demonstration of our proposal about thermal illusions, Peltier effects [26, 27] may help to get negative values of thermal conductivities.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

By using the coordinate transformation approach for heat flow [9, 10], we have proposed a kind of thermal illusion device composed of thermal metamaterials. Our finite element simulations in two dimensions have confirmed the desired thermal illusions for different objects with various geometries and compositions: With the help of the illusion device, Object A could apparently possess the same temperature distribution pattern as Object B although Object B actually does not exist. The underlying mechanism originates from the complimentary and restoring effects generated by thermal metamaterials. This work not only proposes a concept of thermal illusions for applications in various fields including military use, but also offers a different method to control heat flow at will.

Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Yun Lai for sharing with us his codes on transformation optics, and acknowledge the financial support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11222544, by the Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation under Grant No. 131008, by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-12-0121), by the Shanghai Rising-Star Program (No. 12QA1400200), and by the CNKBRSF under Grant No. 2011CB922004.

[1] Y. Lai, J. Ng, H. Y. Chen, D. Z. Han, J. J. Xiao, Z. Q. Zhang, and C. T. Chan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 253902 (2009).

[2] C. Li, X. Meng, X. Liu, F. Li, G. Fang, H. Chen, and C. T. Chan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 233906 (2010).

[3] H. Y. Chen, C. T. Chan, and P. Sheng, Nature Mater. **9**, 387 (2010).

[4] W. X. Jiang and T. J. Cui, Phys. Rev. E **83**, 026601 (2011).

[5] W. X. Jiang, T. J. Cui, X. M. Yang, H. F. Ma, and Q. Cheng, Appl. Phys. Lett. **98**, 204101 (2011).

[6] M. Liu, Z. L. Mei, X. Ma, and T. J. Cui, Appl. Phys. Lett. **101**, 051905 (2012).

[7] W. X. Jiang, C.-W. Qiu, T. C. Han, S. Zhang, and T. J. Cui, Adv. Funct. Mater. **23**, 4028 (2013).

[8] W. W. Kan, B. Liang, X. F. Zhu, R. Q. Li, X. Y. Zou, H. D. Wu, J. Yang, and J. C. Cheng, Sci. Rep. **3**, 1427 (2013).

[9] C. Z. Fan, Y. Gao, and J. P. Huang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **92**, 251907 (2008).

[10] J. Y. Li, Y. Gao, and J. P. Huang, J. Appl. Phys. **108**, 074504 (2010).

[11] S. Guenneau, C. Amra, and D. Veynante, Opt. Express **20**, 8207 (2012).

[12] S. Narayana and Y. Sato, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 214303 (2012).

[13] T. C. Han, T. Yuan, B. W. Li, and W. C. Qiu, Sci. Rep. **3**, 1593 (2013).

[14] Y. G. Ma, L. Lan, W. Jiang, F. Sun, and S. L. He, NPG Asia Materials, **5**, e73 (2013).

[15] R. Schittny, M. Kadic, S. Guenneau, and M. Wegener, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 195901 (2013).

[16] S. Narayana, S. Savo, and Y. Sato, Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, 201904 (2013).

[17] E. M. Dede, T. Nomura, P. Schmalenberg, and J. S. Lee, Appl. Phys. Lett. **103**, 063501 (2013).

[18] T. Z. Yang, L. J. Huang, F. Chen, and W. K. Xu, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **46**, 305102 (2013).

[19] X. He, and L. Z. Wu, Appl. Phys. Lett. **102**, 211912 (2013).

[20] T. C. Han, X. Bai, D. L. Gao, J. T. L. Thong, B. W. Li, and C.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 054302 (2014).

[21] H. Y. Xu, X. H. Shi, F. Gao, H. D. Sun, and B. L. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 054301 (2014).

[22] T. C. Han, X. Bai, J. T. L. Thong, B. W. Li, and C. W. Qiu, Adv. Mater. **26**, 1731 (2014).

[23] Y. Gao, and J. P. Huang, EPL, **104**, 44001 (2013).

[24] S. Guenneau and C. Amra, Opt. Express **21**, 6578 (2013).

[25] G. W. Milton, M. Briane, and J. R. Willis, New J. Phys. **8**, 248 (2006).

[26] F. L. Bakker, A. Slachter, J. P. Adam, and B. J. Van Wees, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 136601 (2010).

[27] A. A. Kovalev, and Y. Tserkovnyak, EPL, **97**, 67002 (2012).

Fig. 1. Schematic graph showing the concept of thermal illusions proposed in this work; the bar on the left (or right) with "High temperature" (or "Low temperature") denotes the heat source of high (or low) temperature. (a) The blue gradient denotes the temperature distribution pattern of a pencil (an object); (b) the orange gradient represents the temperature distribution pattern of a key (another object); (c) same as (a), but we add an illusion device that is indicated by the white area. As a result, the temperature distribution pattern outside the illusion device is different from that in (a), but same as that in (b). In other words, with the help of the illusion device, the *pencil* outside the illusion device apparently has the same temperature distribution pattern as the *key* in (b), thus yielding a thermal illusion.

Fig. 2. Schematic graph showing how to design the illusion device occupying Regions 2 and 3 embedded in a background of thermal conductivity κ_0, for producing the thermal illusion, namely, the medium of κ_1 occupying Region 1 apparently produces the same temperature distribution pattern as the medium of κ_4 occupying Region 4. Here Regions 1-2 both have a shape of trapezoid, Region 3 contains one rectangle and two triangles, and Region 4 is in the shape of hexagon, which has the same shape, size and location as the total area of Regions 1-3. For achieving this kind of thermal illusion, the medium of κ_2 (complementary medium) occupying Region 2 thermally cancels the medium occupying Region 1, and the medium of κ_3 (restoring medium) occupying Region 3 thermally takes place of the medium occupying Region 4. Note that the origin of coordinates is located at the center of the right boundary of Region 1.

Fig. 3. Simulation results of temperature distribution patterns in two dimensions. Regions 1-4 of Fig. 2 apply to (a)-(l) herein as well, and dashed lines indicate the position of both Region 1 in (d,f,g,i,j,l) and Region 4 in (e,h,k). (a) Region 1 within the background of $\kappa_0 = 40\,\mathrm{W/(m{\cdot}K)}$ is fully occupied by Object A of $\kappa_A = 1\,\mathrm{W/(m{\cdot}\,K)}$ in the shape of trapezoid, (b) Region 4 within the same background is fully occupied by Object B of $\kappa_B = 400\,\mathrm{W/(m{\cdot}\,K)}$ in the shape of hexagon, and (c) is same as (a), but involves an illusion device that occupies Regions 2-3. (b) and (c) show the same temperature distribution pattern outside either Region 4 of (b) or Regions 1-3 of (c), thus yielding the desired thermal illusion. (d-f) is same as (a-c), but Object A (or Object B) becomes an elliptical (or rectangular) object of $\kappa_A = 400\,\mathrm{W/(m{\cdot}\,K)}$ (or $\kappa_B = 1\,\mathrm{W/(m{\cdot}\,K)}$), which lies in the background and partially occupies Region 1 (or Region 4). (g-i) is same as (d-f), but Object A (or Object B) has a shape of rectangle (or ellipse) instead. (j) shows the background with a 0.2 m-width thermal wall of $\kappa_A = 1\,\mathrm{W/(m{\cdot}K)}$, which separates the space into two disconnected parts. In (j), the thermal wall just serves as Object A, which contains Region 1. (k) shows Region 4,

which only involves the same material as the background. That is, (k) contains Object B, which is actually the truncated thermal wall and lies outside Region 4. (l) is same as (j), but we add an illusion device to Regions 2-3. Similarly, (k) and (l) show the same temperature distribution pattern outside either Region 4 of (k) or Regions 1-3 of (l), thus yielding the thermal illusion as well. The white area in (c), (f), (i), and (l) denotes the temperature whose value exceeds the bounds of color bars.



FIG. 1: /Chen, Shen, and Huang



FIG. 2: /Chen, Shen, and Huang



FIG. 3: /Chen, Shen and Huang